

Editors of the journal "From archives of VUChK-GPU-NKVD-KGB"

ask for a review of the article

Title of the article _____		
Reviewer (Name) _____		
Scientific degree and academic rank _____		
Institution _____		
Date when the reviewer received the article for review _____		
Date when reviewer provided a review * _____		
* (no more than 2 weeks)		
Evaluation criteria	yes / no	Comment (if necessary)
- Is the title of the article informative and relevant?		
- Does the content of the article correspond to its title?		
- Does the article have a scientific novelty and originality?		
- Does the article have a scientific novelty and originality?		
- Have adequate theoretical and methodological approaches been used?		
- Does the text of the article contain enough references to current research literature?		
- Whether used sources are: • relevant? • of current importance? • correctly cited?		
- Is the author of the principles of academic integrity adhered to? (lack of plagiarism and borrowing without proper quotation)		

- Is the structure of the article clear and consistent?		
- Is the argument of the author convincing?		
- Is the statement of the main thesis of the article clear?		
- Is illustrative and auxiliary material relevant and understandable?		
- Is the goal of scientific research realized?		
- Are the author's conclusions justified?		
- Does the text of the article need to be finalized? If so, which flaws need to be removed, correct the mistakes?		
Recommendations for the editor-in-chief (you need to emphasize):		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The article can be published without changes; • The article may be published after taking into account editorial changes; • The article may be published after full rewriting and re-review; • The article does not have the right to print. 		
Additional thoughts, observations and recommendations of the reviewer:		

Signature of the reviewer, certified by the institution's seal, or electronic signature